

attach their homes. We always felt that that was not fair either, that nobody wants to be dependent upon their children, and it also removed the standards that we fought so hard for in nursing homes.

Mr. Speaker, kennels will have more standards than we will have in nursing homes.

THE TRUTH

(Mr. KIM asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. KIM. Mr. Speaker, I am really concerned about this assault and accusation that the Republicans are trying to give millions and millions of dollars' tax credit to rich people at the expense of poor, elderly people by cutting Medicare spending. I am really concerned. This is a bunch of lies.

Let me tell my colleagues exactly what happened.

Here is a tax credit; they are talking about tax cuts, which is \$500 tax credit for child support. That money does not come from Medicare spending. It comes from actually non-Medicare spending cut, which is about \$622 billion. None of those Medicare money going to tax cut.

The second lie: Republicans just passed amendment to Medicare bill which prohibits any money being transferred from Medicare fund to other, other account.

Come on, let us stop this rhetoric. No money shall be transferred for the Medicare to other funds except Medicare trust fund itself. That is the truth.

AMERICA'S SENIORS BEING SOLD UP THE RIVER

(Ms. DELAURO asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. DELAURO. Mr. Speaker, back-room deals are becoming the standard for this new Congress. Last night, after a closed door meeting with Speaker GINGRICH the American Medical Association reversed its position and announced the association will now support the proposal.

According to the New York Times, a representative of the AMA reported that the organization reversed its position because "doctors would receive billions of dollars more than the Republicans had planned. But he and Mr. GINGRICH refused to give the details, nor would they specify which other groups might receive less money to make up the difference."

Well, why do we not make an educated guess? Medicare savings can only be achieved by cutting from providers or from beneficiaries. And, if the Republicans are not cutting from providers then guess who is making up the difference? America's seniors.

While Republicans buy off the special interests, it is America's seniors who are being sold up the river.

NO CONNECTION BETWEEN CUTTING TAXES AND MEDICARE

(Mr. HEFLEY asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. HEFLEY. Mr. Speaker, liberal Democrats are, I believe, at the end of their rope. They know that action must be taken to preserve Medicare for future generations. But they come to the well and spew the grossest class warfare slogans I have ever heard.

Democrats go on and on about tax cuts for the rich.

But, least we forget, to a Democrat, anyone who has a job is rich. Anyone who has children is rich. Anyone who pays taxes is rich.

Mr. Speaker, there really is no connection between cutting taxes and Medicare. Medicare is going bankrupt—period. That has nothing to do with tax rates, or capital gains tax rates, or what level of income pays the biggest share of the tax burden.

But one thing is clear—Medicare is going bankrupt. No matter how hard they cry and scream about tax cuts, Democrats have not lifted one finger to save Medicare. And that is wrong.

EXTREME CUTS NOT NEEDED IN ORDER TO SAVE MEDICARE

(Mr. GENE GREEN of Texas asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. GENE GREEN of Texas. Mr. Speaker, just so my colleagues know that there are two sides to the debate, Medicare is not going bankrupt until, not going bankrupt until, the year 2002, and we can change Medicare by cutting over the next few years and increasing beneficiaries' costs may be \$90 billion, not \$270 billion. That is why the Republicans are scared, because the American people are not buying what they are trying to sell them. It is a tax cut of \$245 billion over that same 7 years and a Medicare cut of \$270 billion.

Mr. Speaker, the American College of Physicians and Surgeons, the AARP, finally came off of dead center and said it is wrong. We even have a freshman Republican doctor who has been quoted as saying, "I guarantee you that these reductions will be bad for quality health care, not just for our senior citizens, but also for working people."

Hello. Earth to the other side of the aisle. Listen to your own people. These extreme, and that is extreme, cuts do not need to be made to save Medicare, only \$90 billion, not \$270 billion.

PROUD TO BE A PART OF MEDICARE REFORM

(Mr. SAM JOHNSON of Texas asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. SAM JOHNSON of Texas. Mr. Speaker, let me correct the statement that was just made. It is not \$90 billion;

\$90 billion in the Democrat plan takes us out to the year 2006. Guess what? There are 4 more years before the baby boomers arrive, and that is where the real problem exists. Our reform takes us out to that point, and there is a \$300 billion difference in their plan and ours. They do not fund Medicare.

As my colleagues know, today is an important day for me because I am 65 and I have got a Medicare card. Guess what? I worried about it because of the bankruptcy, so I have spent months working with doctors, hospitals, nursing homes, insurance companies, and seniors in my district, as have a lot of us around the country, to save Medicare and find a solution. As my colleagues know, we have come up with a smorgasbord of choices, and I am proud to have been a part of the reform effort, not only for myself, but for every American who depends on Medicare. I am proud knowing that Congress has not just looked toward the next election, but we have looked to the next generation to make a better America for our kids.

□ 1115

HEALTH CARE IN RURAL AMERICA

(Mr. DE LA GARZA asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. DE LA GARZA. Mr. Speaker, I may be one of the few here that voted for Medicare, and the counterparts of the Members now that are complaining chastised me for having voted for Medicare when it was enacted in my first session of Congress.

I am concerned about rural America and health in rural America. The cuts proposed by our colleagues will increase the severe financial pressure on rural hospitals, and force some rural hospitals to close. Rural hospitals lose money on Medicare patients while urban hospitals make a small profit. Medicare accounts for almost 40 percent of the net patient revenue in the average rural hospital, as much as 80 percent in some rural hospitals. The Republican cut of \$58 billion over 7 years, a 20-percent cut in 2002 alone, will almost devastate most rural hospitals. We need to look at that.

I went throughout my country. I did not see what my colleagues were saying in their prepared speeches.

The Republican Medicare cuts will force 9.6 million older and disabled Americans in rural America to pay higher premiums and higher deductibles for a weakened second class Medicare Program.

Medicare spending for people in rural areas of America will be cut by \$58 billion over 7 years—a 20-percent cut in 2002 alone.

The Republican cuts will increase the severe financial pressure on rural hospitals in America and force some rural hospitals to close. Today, rural hospitals lose money on Medicare patients while urban hospitals make a small profit. Medicare accounts for almost